# Design Loads for Fiberglass Bulkhead and Deck Structures

Charles Daniel Wolverton, Jr.

CompuCon 21808 East River Road Grosse Ile, MI 48138



Final Report December 1995



This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161

### Prepared for:

U.S. Coast Guard Research and Development Center 1082 Shennecossett Road Groton, CT 06340-6096

and

U.S. Department of Transportation United States Coast Guard Office of Engineering, Logistics, and Development Washington, DC 20593-0001

# NOTICE

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof.

The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturers' names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the object of this report.

The contents of this report reflect the views of the Coast Guard Research & Development Center. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

G. T.\Gunther

Technical Director, Acting United States Coast Guard Research & Development Center 1082 Shennecossett Road

Groton, CT 06340-6096

### Technical Report Documentation Page

1. Report No. CG-D-44-95 4. Title and Subtitle Design Loads for Fiberglass Bulkhead and Deck Structures 7. Author(s) Charles Daniel Wolverton, Jr. 9. Performing Organization Name and Address CompuCon 21808 East River Road Grosse Ile, Mil 48138 1. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Department of Transportation U.S. Coast Guard Offices Ile, Mil 48138 1. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Department of Transportation U.S. Coast Guard Office of Engineering, Logistics, and Development Washington, DC 20583-0001 15. Supplementary Notes The Coast Guard technical contact and COTR is Mr. Louis Nash of the U.S. Coast Guard Research and Development Center Marine Fire and Safety Research Branch 1082 Rehenceosset Research Project Officer is Mr. Klaus Wahle of the Office of Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection. 16. Abstract In order to determine suitable loadings to be applied during fire endurance testing of fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) bulkhead and deck structures, a number of sources were reviewed to determine whether generally-accepted published design load values were available for these structures.  Few published listings of design load values were found. It appears that the main reason is widespread reliance on classification society design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be required the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance testi, international Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.				T					
4. Title and Subtitile  Design Loads for Fiberglass Bulkhead and Deck Structures  7. Author(s)  Charles Daniel Wolverton, Jr.  9. Performing Organization Name and Address CompuCon  21:08 East River Road Grosse Ile, MI 48138  12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address U.S. Coast Guard Lechnical Contact and COTR is Mr. Louis Nash of the U.S. Coast Guard Research and Development Center, at 860-441-2763. The Headquarters Project Officer is Mr. Klaus Wahle of the Office of Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection.  16. Abstract In order to determine suitable loadings to be applied during fire endurance testing of fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) bulkhead and deck structures, a number of sources were reviewed to determine whether generally-accepted published design load values were available for these structures.  Few published listings of design load values were found. It appears that the main reason is widespread reliance on classification society design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be to require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure: fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement This do	· ·	2. Government Access	sion No.	3. Recipient's Catalog	No.				
Design Loads for Fiberglass Bulkhead and Deck Structures  7. Author(s) Charles Daniel Wolverton, Jr.  9. Performing Organization Name and Address Compucon 21608 East River Road Grosse Iei, MI 48138 11. Contract or Grant No. DTC339-94. F-E00030 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Department of Transportation U.S. Coast Guard U.S. Coast Guard Office of Engineering, Logistics, and Development Center, Mashington, DC 2059-2001 Groton, CT 06340-6096  15. Supplementary Notes The Coast Guard technical contact and COTR is Mr. Louis Nash of the U.S. Coast Guard Research and Development Center, Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection.  16. Abstract In order to determine suitable loadings to be applied during fire endurance testing of fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) bulkhead and deck structures, a number of sources were reviewed to determine whether generally-accepted published design load values were found. It appears that the main reason is widespread reliance on dassification society design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be to require the structure's design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be to require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; international Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this pege)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price	CG-D-44-95								
Design Loads for Fiberglass Bulkhead and Deck Structures  7. Author(s) Charles Daniel Wolverton, Jr.  9. Performing Organization Report No. R&DC 33/94  9. Performing Organization Name and Address CompuCon 21808 East River Road Grosse lie, MI 48138  12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Department of Transportation U.S. Coast Guard Office of Engineering, Logistics, and Development Center Marine Fire and Safety Research Branch (16. Supplementary Notes The Coast Guard technical contact and COTR is Mr. Louis Nash of the U.S. Coast Guard Research Branch Development Center, at 860-441-2763. The Headquarters Project Officer is Mr. Klaus Wahle of the Office of Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection.  16. Abstract In order to determine suitable loadings to be applied during fire endurance testing of fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) bulkhead and deck structures, a number of sources were reviewed to determine whether generally-accepted published design load values were available for these structures.  Few published distings of design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be to require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words  Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; international Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement  This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service. Springfield, VA 22161.	4. Title and Subtitle								
7. Author(s) Charles Daniel Wolverton, Jr.  9. Performing Organization Name and Address CompuCon 21608 East River Road Grosse Ite, MI 48138 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Department of Transportation U.S. Coast Guard Coffice of Engineering, Logistics, and Development Washington, DC 20593-0001 15. Supplementary Notes The Coast Guard technical contact and COTR is Mr. Louis Nash of the U.S. Coast Guard Research and Development Center, and Environmental Protection.  16. Abstract In order to determine suitable loadings to be applied during fire endurance testing of fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) bulkhead and deck structures, an umber of sources were reviewed to determine whether generally-accepted published listings of design load values were found. It appears that the main reason is widespread reliance on classification society design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  17. Key Words Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test: International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  18. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price	Design Loads for Fiberglass Bu	Structures							
7. Author(s) Charles Daniel Wolverton, Jr.  9. Performing Organization Name and Address CompuCon 21608 East River Road Grosse Ile, MI 48138  12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Department of Transportation U.S. Coast Guard Office of Engineering, Logistics, and Development Washington, DC 20593-0001  15. Supplementary Notes The Coast Guard technical contact and COTR is Mr. Louis Nash of the U.S. The Coast Guard technical contact and COTR is Mr. Louis Nash of the U.S. The Coast Guard technical contact and COTR is Mr. Louis Nash of the U.S. The Coast Guard technical contact and COTR is Mr. Louis Nash of the U.S. The Coast Guard technical contact and COTR is Mr. Louis Nash of the U.S. The Coast Guard technical contact and COTR is Mr. Louis Nash of the U.S. The Coast Guard technical contact and COTR is Mr. Louis Nash of the U.S. The Coast Guard technical contact and COTR is Mr. Louis Nash of the U.S. The Coast Guard technical contact and COTR is Mr. Louis Nash of the U.S. The Coast Guard Research and Development Center, at 860-441-2763. The Headquarters Project Officer is Mr. Klaus Wahle of the Office of Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection.  16. Abstract In order to determine suitable loadings to be applied during fire endurance testing of fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) bulbished design load values were available for these structures.  Few published listings of design load values were found. It appears that the main reason is widespread reliance on classification society design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be to require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endura					allon Code				
Performing Organization Name and Address CompuCon 21808 East River Road Grosse Ile, MI 48138  10. Seponsoring Agency Name and Address Department of Transportation U.S. Coast Guard Research and Development Center Marine Fire and Safety Research Branch 1082 Shennerossest Road Grosse Ile, MI 48138  11. Contract or Grant No. DTCG39-94 F-E00030  13. Type of Report and Period Covered Finial Report December 1994  14. Sponsoring Agency Name Marine Fire and Safety Research Branch 1082 Shennerossest Road Grosse Guard technical contact and COTR is Mr. Louis Nash of the U.S. Coast Guard Research and Development Center, at 860-441-2763. The Headquarters Project Officer is Mr. Klaus Wahle of the Office of Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection.  16. Abstract In order to determine suitable loadings to be applied during fire endurance testing of fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) bulkhead and deck structures, a number of sources were reviewed to determine whether generally-accepted published design load values were available for these structures.  Few published listings of design load values were found. It appears that the main reason is widespread reliance on classification society design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be to require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.	7. Author(s)				ation Report No.				
9. Performing Organization Name and Address CompuCon 21608 East River Road Grosse lie, Mi 48138  12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Department of Transportation U.S. Coast Guard Ciffice of Engineering, Logistics, and Development Washington, DC 20533-0001  15. Supplementary Notes The Coast Guard technical contact and COTR is Mr. Louis Nash of the U.S. Coast Guard Research and Development Center, at 860-441-2763. The Headquarters Project Officer is Mr. Klaus Wahle of the Office of Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection.  16. Abstract In order to determine suitable loadings to be applied during fire endurance testing of fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) bulkhead and deck structures, a number of sources were reviewed to determine whether generally-accepted published design load values were available for these structures.  Few published listings of design load values were found. It appears that the main reason is widespread reliance on classification society design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be to require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.	Charles Daniel Wolverton, Jr.				,				
21808 East River Road Grosse Ile, MI 48138  12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Department of Transportation U.S. Coast Guard Research and Development Center Marine Fire and Safety Research Branch 1082 Shennecossett Road Washington, DC 20593-0001  15. Supplementary Notes The Coast Guard technical contact and COTR is Mr. Louis Nash of the U.S. Coast Guard Research and Development Center Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection.  16. Abstract In order to determine suitable loadings to be applied during fire endurance testing of fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) bulkhead and deck structures, a number of sources were reviewed to determine whether generally-accepted published design load values were found. It appears that the main reason is widespread reliance on classification society design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be to require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.									
218.08 East River Road Grosse Ile, MI 48138  12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Department of Transportation U.S. Coast Guard Clfice of Engineering, Logistics, and Development 1082 Shennecossest Road Washington, DC 20593-0001 Groton, CT 06340-6096  15. Supplementary Notes The Coast Guard technical contact and COTR is Mr. Louis Nash of the U.S. Coast Guard Research and Development Center, at 860-441-2763. The Headquarters Project Officer is Mr. Klaus Wahle of the Office of Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection.  16. Abstract In order to determine suitable loadings to be applied during fire endurance testing of fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) bulkhead and deck structures, a number of sources were reviewed to determine whether generally-accepted published distings of design load values were found. It appears that the main reason is widespread reliance on dassification society design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be to require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.		dress							
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Department of Transportation U.S. Coast Guard Office of Engineering, Logistics, and Development Center Washington, DC 20593-0001 Supplementary Notes The Coast Guard Grotn, CT 06340-6096  15. Supplementary Notes The Coast Guard technical contact and COTR is Mr. Louis Nash of the U.S. Coast Guard Research and Development Center, at 860-441-2763. The Headquarters Project Officer is Mr. Klaus Wahle of the Office of Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection.  16. Abstract In order to determine suitable loadings to be applied during fire endurance testing of fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) bulkhead and deck structures, a number of sources were reviewed to determine whether generally-accepted published design load values were available for these structures.  Few published listings of design load values were found. It appears that the main reason is widespread reliance on classification society design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be to require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words  Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement  This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price									
Department of Transportation U.S. Coast Guard U.S. Coast Guard Office of Engineering, Logistics, and Development Office of Engineering, Logistics, and Development Washington, DC 2059-0001 1082 Shennecossett Road Washington, DC 2059-0001 Groton, CT 06340-6096 115. Supplementary Notes The Coast Guard technical contact and COTR is Mr. Louis Nash of the U.S. Coast Guard Research and Development Center, at 860-441-2763. The Headquarters Project Officer is Mr. Klaus Wahle of the Office of Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection.  16. Abstract In order to determine suitable loadings to be applied during fire endurance testing of fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) bulkhead and deck structures, a number of sources were reviewed to determine whether generally-accepted published design load values were available for these structures.  Few published listings of design load values were found. It appears that the main reason is widespread reliance on classification society design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be to require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words  Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Marittime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement  This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price									
U.S. Coast Guard Office of Engineering, Logistics, and Development Washington, DC 20593-0001  15. Supplementary Notes The Coast Guard technical contact and COTR is Mr. Louis Nash of the U.S. Coast Guard Research and Development Center, at 860-441-2763. The Headquarters Project Officer is Mr. Klaus Wahle of the Office of Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection.  16. Abstract In order to determine suitable loadings to be applied during fire endurance testing of fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) bulkhead and deck structures, a number of sources were reviewed to determine whether generally-accepted published design load values were available for these structures.  Few published listings of design load values were found. It appears that the main reason is widespread reliance on classification society design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be to require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price				13. Type of Report and	d Period Covered				
Office of Engineering, Logistics, and Development 1082 Shennecossett Road 1082 Shennecossett Road 1082 Shennecossett Road Groton, CT 06340-6098 14. Sponsoring Agency Code G-MVI 15. Supplementary Notes  The Coast Guard technical contact and COTR is Mr. Louis Nash of the U.S. Coast Guard Research and Development Center, at 860-441-2763. The Headquarters Project Officer is Mr. Klaus Wahle of the Office of Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection.  16. Abstract  In order to determine suitable loadings to be applied during fire endurance testing of fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) bulkhead and deck structures, a number of sources were reviewed to determine whether generally-accepted published design load values were available for these structures.  Few published listings of design load values were found. It appears that the main reason is widespread reliance on classification society design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be to require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words  Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement  This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price			oment Center						
and Development Mashington, DC 20593-0001 Groton, CT 06340-6096  14. Sponsoring Agency Code G-MVI  15. Supplementary Notes The Coast Guard technical contact and COTR is Mr. Louis Nash of the U.S. Coast Guard Research and Development Center, at 860-441-2763. The Headquarters Project Officer is Mr. Klaus Wahle of the Office of Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection.  16. Abstract In order to determine suitable loadings to be applied during fire endurance testing of fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) bulkhead and deck structures, a number of sources were reviewed to determine whether generally-accepted published design load values were available for these structures.  Few published listings of design load values were found. It appears that the main reason is widespread reliance on classification society design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be to require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words  Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement  This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price									
15. Supplementary Notes The Coast Guard technical contact and COTR is Mr. Louis Nash of the U.S. Coast Guard Research and Development Center, at 860-441-2763. The Headquarters Project Officer is Mr. Klaus Wahle of the Office of Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection.  16. Abstract In order to determine suitable loadings to be applied during fire endurance testing of fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) bulkhead and deck structures, a number of sources were reviewed to determine whether generally-accepted published design load values were available for these structures.  Few published listings of design load values were found. It appears that the main reason is widespread reliance on classification society design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be to require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test: International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price	and Development				y Code				
The Coast Guard technical contact and COTR is Mr. Louis Nash of the U.S. Coast Guard Research and Development Center, at 860-441-2763. The Headquarters Project Officer is Mr. Klaus Wahle of the Office of Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection.  16. Abstract In order to determine suitable loadings to be applied during fire endurance testing of fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) bulkhead and deck structures, a number of sources were reviewed to determine whether generally-accepted published design load values were available for these structures.  Few published listings of design load values were found. It appears that the main reason is widespread reliance on classification society design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be to require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words  Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement  This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)   21. No. of Pages   22. Price		Groton, C1 06340-603	90	G-MIVI					
Development Center, at 860-441-2763. The Headquarters Project Officer is Mr. Klaus Wahle of the Office of Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection.  16. Abstract In order to determine suitable loadings to be applied during fire endurance testing of fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) bulkhead and deck structures, a number of sources were reviewed to determine whether generally-accepted published design load values were available for these structures.  Few published listings of design load values were found. It appears that the main reason is widespread reliance on classification society design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be to require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words  Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price		and COTP is Mr. Loui	c Nach of the LLS	Coast Guard Rosear	ch and				
Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection.  16. Abstract  In order to determine suitable loadings to be applied during fire endurance testing of fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) bulkhead and deck structures, a number of sources were reviewed to determine whether generally-accepted published design load values were available for these structures.  Few published listings of design load values were found. It appears that the main reason is widespread reliance on classification society design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be to require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words  Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement  This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price									
In order to determine suitable loadings to be applied during fire endurance testing of fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) bulkhead and deck structures, a number of sources were reviewed to determine whether generally-accepted published design load values were available for these structures.  Few published listings of design load values were found. It appears that the main reason is widespread reliance on classification society design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be to require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words  Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement  This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price									
bulkhead and deck structures, a number of sources were reviewed to determine whether generally-accepted published design load values were available for these structures.  Few published listings of design load values were found. It appears that the main reason is widespread reliance on classification society design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be to require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words  Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement  This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price	16. Abstract								
bulkhead and deck structures, a number of sources were reviewed to determine whether generally-accepted published design load values were available for these structures.  Few published listings of design load values were found. It appears that the main reason is widespread reliance on classification society design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be to require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words  Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement  This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price	In order to determine suitable loading	s to be applied during	fire endurance te	sting of fiber reinforce	d plastic (FRP)				
Few published listings of design load values were found. It appears that the main reason is widespread reliance on classification society design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be to require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words  Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement  This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price	bulkhead and deck structures, a num	ber of sources were r	eviewed to determ	nine whether generally	-accepted				
classification society design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be to require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words  Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement  This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price	published design load values were av	ailable for these struc	ctures.						
classification society design rules. Also, design loads are often established on an individual basis for specific sea state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be to require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words  Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement  This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price	Fow published listings of design load	values were found. I	t annears that the	main reason is widesr	read reliance on				
state conditions and operational requirements.  It was concluded that the most suitable method of establishing loadings for fire endurance testing would be to require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words  Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement  This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price	classification society design rules. A	lso, design loads are	often established	on an individual basis	for specific sea				
require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words  Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement  This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price									
require the structure's designer to provide actual design load information for each test specimen. Suggested loading values are also provided for use in cases where the actual design load values are not available.  17. Key Words  Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement  This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price					ld bo to				
17. Key Words Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price	It was concluded that the most suitab	ole method of establish	ning loadings for ti	re endurance testing v	Vouid be to				
17. Key Words Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  18. Distribution Statement This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price									
Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price									
Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price					ŕ				
Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price									
Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price									
Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price									
Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price									
Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price									
Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price									
Fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) structure; fire endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page)  21. No. of Pages  22. Price									
endurance test; International Maritime Organization (IMO) test; design load; ship structure  the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report)  20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages  22. Price	•								
(IMO) test; design load; ship structure Springfield, VA 22161.  19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price									
19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price					rvice,				
To seeding states (et alle report)	(IIVIO) test; design load; snip struc	luie	opinighela, VA	EE IVI.					
To seeding states (et alle report)									
UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED				21. No. of Pages	22. Price				
	UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLAS	SSIFIED						

# METRIC CONVERSION FACTORS

ures	Symbol		≘.≘	#	yd	Ē	2	- <sup>2</sup> F <sup>7</sup>	yu 2	•			20	2			;	11 OZ	י נ	1	طر عن ط	e	vd <sup>3</sup>			ŧ	i.	1		
Meas		•			•	_		<b>7</b> 0							•								ds.				=	rature	±.	ပွ
Metric	To Find		inches	feet	yards	miles		square inches	square yards	square mies	9		onuces	pounds	SHOLL TOHS			fluid ounces	sdno	pints	quarts	ganons	cubic vards	•		٠	Fahrenheit	temperature	212°F	-100
ons from	Multiply By	1	0.0 4	9.9	Ξ	9.0		9		4. c	)	пднт)	0.035	2.5	=			0.03	0.125	2.7	1.06	95.0	£ .	!	CE (EXACT)	(FARC)	9/5 (then	add 32)	98.6	- - - - 8
Approximate Conversions from Metric Measures	When You Know	LENGTH	millimeters	meters	meters	kilometers	AREA	square centimeters	square meters	square kilometers	nectal est 10,000 m	MASS (WEIGHT)		kilograms	tonnes (1000 kg)		VOLUME	milliliters	liters	liters	liters	liters	cubic meters		TEAMPEDATINDE (EXACT)	LEMPERATOR	Celsius	temperature	-40°F 0 32 80	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Appro	Symbol		E E	Ē E	E	Æ	,	cm <sup>2</sup>	E,	Ka F	ā		57	kg.	-			Ē	_	-		_ "	E E	<b>=</b>			ပ္			
SS S3	50 S1	6		 	12	<u> </u>	91	S		<b>P</b> 1	13		12	1		)   	6		3			9	9		<b>*</b>	3	;   	5	Lu	cı
1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	րգորդոր				1111	11111					1111	1111		1111	ιμ	1111	1111	1111	щ	ııı	1111	1111	1111	1111					111111	111
9	8		ייין 7		1.1.		6	ין יי		ינייןי	5	'		' I '	4	'   '	'	3	ľ	1'	'	1 '		Ι'	"	1.1		į 	nche	es
sures —	Symbol 8		7 E3	E C	ε	E¥	•		2 E	2 E	E 1	g L			4 By	· 1 ·	'	3	E	Ε Έ	-	1 ' 2 		- "	E E	1	•	ا ا	nche	es
Ires				ters		kilometers km	•	ers	square meters m2		lometers km²	hectares na	()		ns kg	tonnes			•	milliliters ml	liters I				cubic meters m <sup>3</sup>			o° su	temperature ou	98
Ires	Symbol	LENGTH	СШ	centimeters	meters		•	ers	9 square meters	square meters	square Kilometers Km		MASS (WEIGHT)	5	0.45 kilograms kg	0.9 tonnes	VOLUME	milliliters	Ē		24	liters	liters	liters				o° su		es
Ires	Multiply By To Find Symbol	LENGTH	centimeters cm	centimeters	meters	kilometers		square centimeters	0.09 square meters	0.8 square meters	e miles 2.6 square kilometers km²	hectares	MASS (WEIGHT)	grams g	0,45 kilograms kg	tonnes	VOLUME	milliliters	ls 15 milliliters ml	30 milliliters	0.24	liters	0.95 liters	3.8 litters	cubic meters		•	5/9 (after Celsius °C	temperature	2.54 (exactly).
•	To Find Symbol	LENGTH	inches #2.5 centimeters cm	30 centimeters	0.9 meters	miles 1.6 kilometers		square inches 6.5 square centimeters	square feet 0.09 square meters	0.8 square meters	square miles 2.6 square kilometers km²	0.4 hectares	MASS (WEIGHT)	28 grams g	pounds 0.45 kilograms kg	(2000 lb) 0.9 tonnes	VOLUME	5 milliliters ml	tablespoons 15 milliliters ml	fluid ounces 30 milliliters	cups 0.24	0.47 liters I	quarts 0.95 liters	gallons 3.8 liters	0.03 cubic meters			5/9 (after Celsius °C	subtracting temperature 32)	

### DESIGN LOADS FOR FIBERGLASS BULKHEAD AND DECK STRUCTURES

### 1.0 Background

Recently, a draft proposed standard was prepared for the International Maritime Organization, covering testing of fire endurance of loaded fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) vessel structures. Following preparation of the proposed standard, a number of sources were reviewed to determine whether a rationale could be found for establishing standard loadings to be imposed on FRP bulkhead and deck structure specimens during fire endurance testing.

This review focused on an examination of design loads (i.e., the loadings which are assumed to act on a structure for the purposes of sizing the structure's scantlings).

The following is intended neither as a design guide nor as recommendations regarding design load selection for specific structures. It is merely a discussion of available design load information applicable to bulkhead and deck structures, which could be used to establish standardized loadings to be applied during fire endurance testing.

### 2.0 Design Loads

For the purposes of this review, the term "design load" is taken to mean the assumed loading that is used to determine the minimum requirements (e.g., plating thickness and stiffener spacing and cross-section configuration) of a vessel's structure. The design load can also be considered to be the maximum load that a structure can be expected to carry without risking damage.

As discussed below, available sources differ as to procedures for combining various possible loading conditions in order to develop specific design load values. Design loads are often established for vessel designs on an individual basis, taking into account the probability, magnitude and frequency of

an assumed maximum sea state, slamming or other expected loading conditions. Again based on available sources, there appears to be no generally-accepted method of developing design loads (or the associated design stresses and margins of safety, which involve material strength properties as well). Consequently, there are few published listings of numerical design load values suitable for general applications.

Reference 1, which is concerned primarily with small craft such as yachts, includes the following discussion of a method of establishing design load for hull structure:

> Design Load - It will be apparent by now that, whether the ABS "Guide for Offshore Building Classing and Yachts" is used, or some other method derived from basic principles, the sum of the heads\* multiplied by some slamming factor will be used as the design load. It is important to note, however, that most design methods utilize the head described above in conjunction with mechanical properties for the construction material usually reduced by a "safety factor." fact, it is important for the designer to make certain that the finally derived "accurate estimate" design load is an involving the best information available regarding loadings and incorporating a positive or conservative margin between the real load and the estimated design load. detailed accurate and more information above, the smaller the margin may be between the real load and the design load. Nevertheless, this margin must never be negative...

In Reference 2, design loads for hull structure are discussed in general terms as follows (Chapter VI, Section 4):

To establish the required sizes or scantlings of the structure, it is necessary to determine first the maximum

<sup>\*</sup>described previously in this reference as hydrostatic head augmented by wave height and velocity pressure head.

loads imposed on it. The major loads imposed on a ship structure may be conveniently divided into the following groups:

- ♦ Stillwater bending moments and shearing forces;
- wave-induced moments and shearing forces;
- springing and impulsive loads;
- thermal loads;
- dynamic loads, i.e., hydrodynamic
  pressures, sloshing;
- ♦ other loads, i.e., launching, docking, grounding, mooring, and collision

A combination of the most severe loads is usually selected as the nominal design load for a given structure. The other important loads may be either accounted for in the assigned safety margin or treated statistically in conjunction with all the load components in a refined analysis of the final design...

In some design methods, individual loads are grouped into load categories. One such category might include the loads that are assumed to act on a vessel's structure continuously (e.g., weight of cargo or stores, loads due to liquids in tanks, dead weight of structure), and which may therefore be considered normal operating loads. Other categories might include loads assumed to occur only under unusual operating conditions (e.g., sea slap or slamming loads in high sea states), or loads resulting from casualty conditions such as flooding (Reference 3). Typically, each of these unusual loads is assumed to act independently (but concurrent with the normal operating loads), one load at a time.

With other design methods, a single design load value is assumed, covering all operating conditions.

No specific values for design loads are given, either in the general section quoted above, or in subsequent sections dealing with deck and bulkhead design.

Regarding the predictability of actual loads, it should be remembered that, as stated in a number of sources, it is extremely difficult to determine accurately the actual loadings on a vessel due to wave action such as sea slap and green seas on deck. Even where actual forces have been measured, the results are strictly accurate only for the hull shape, vessel speed and sea conditions that existed when the measurements were made. <sup>2</sup>

3.0 Special Considerations Regarding Design of FRP Structures
In Section 2.0, design loads are discussed in general
terms, without regard to the structural materials used. As
stated above, it is important that the selected design load
value includes an adequate margin over and above the best
estimate of actual load.

Equally important, especially in the case of FRP structures, is the factor used to obtain allowable design stress values. Reference 1 states that:

Mechanical properties are reduced by a factor of 2 or more, depending the reliability of information upon the material regarding available question and some judgment on the part of the designer as to the benefits gained versus risks taken in reducing the size and weight of a structural component. obvious example here is that a small margin of safety is inappropriate in ballast keel weight savings is bolts. as particularly critical in this area of the boat.

Compared with materials such as steel and aluminum, FRP mechanical properties may be subject to great variation due to the basic nature of the material. This variation can result

For a discussion of design loads and their relationship to design stresses and safety margins, see Chapters 3 and 13 of Reference 4.

from several causes: (a) each layer of an FRP laminate will have highly directional strength properties; (b) it is possible to use a variety of resin and mat materials, as well as fiber fractions, all of which can significantly affect strength; (c) quality of workmanship during FRP manufacture and thickness variations.

In addition, according to Reference 5, FRP is particularly susceptible to creep or fracture when subjected to long-term loads. Also, static fatigue of glass fibers can reduce their load-carrying capacity by as much as 70 to 80 percent, depending on load duration, temperature, moisture conditions and other factors.

Because of these uncertainties regarding FRP strength properties, great care must be exercised when selecting both the margin used to define the design load value <u>and</u> the strength properties reduction factor used to define the design stress value. 3

### 4.0 Design Load Information

When loaded structural specimens are tested for fire endurance, the applied loadings should at least approximate the conditions that the structures will experience in service. In order to establish reasonable loading conditions, several sources were reviewed to obtain information on the design loads typically used for designing these types of structures. These sources included documents related to Coast Guard design approval, classification society design rules, marine structure design manuals, naval architectural texts, and technical papers. In addition, technical personnel of the American Bureau of Shipping and several naval architectural firms were contacted.

For a detailed discussion of FRP vessel structural design approaches, including different approaches for large vessels and small craft, see Chapters 3 and 4 of Reference 3.

Design load information obtained from various sources is summarized below. Since many different vessel design methods are in use, it is important to understand, for each method, (a) what types of loadings are included in a given design load value, and (b) the types of vessels for which the design method is intended. For this reason, brief descriptions of individual design methods have also been included.

### 5.0 Design Methods Acceptable to the Coast Guard

Reference 6 states that, in general, the Coast Guard will approve fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) vessel designs which meet applicable rules of the American Bureau of Shipping (ABS) or Lloyd's Register of Shipping. These directly acceptable classification society rules are:

- (1) ABS Rules for Building and Classing Reinforced Plastic Vessels 1978 (Reference 7). According to Reference 6, these rules apply to vessels of normal form, up to 200 feet in length; special consideration is required for vessels of unusual form or design features.)
- (2) Lloyd's Register of Shipping Rules and Regulations for the Classification of Yachts and Small Craft, Part 2, Chapter 2: Glass Reinforced Plastics. (According to Reference 6, these rules apply to vessels not more than 30 meters (100 feet) in length.)

Reference 6 also states that other classification society rules or other design standards may also be acceptable to the Coast Guard. If other design methods are used, the designer must prove, to the Coast Guard's satisfaction, that a proposed design meets a level of safety at least equivalent to that prescribed by ABS or Lloyd's Rules.

As regards to FRP vessels intended to carry passengers, Reference 6 contains the following information:

The process of certificating an FRP vessel to carry passengers under the United States flag is regulated by Title 46, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Subchapter T (T-boat regulations). FRP is prohibited as a construction material for vessels carrying 150 or more total passengers (46 CFR 177.10-5(a-1)) or 50 or more passengers with overnight accommodations. FRP is also prohibited for carrying 13 or more passengers on international voyages covered by SOLAS (Chapter II-2, Regulation 23.1 of SOLAS 174 unless equivalencies exemption amended) or determinations have been granted by the cognizant OCMI. Regulations for cargo and miscellaneous vessel construction are found in 46 CFR, Subchapter I...

### 6.0 Design Load Information Included in ABS Rules

Reference 7 provides design methods for determining minimum allowable plating thickness and stiffener geometry requirements (section modulus and area moment of inertia) for various types of FRP vessel structure, including watertight bulkheads (Section 10) and decks (Section 11).

The formulas used in Reference 2 for calculating minimum thickness, etc., do not include a design load term as such. Instead, the design load, the safety factor (generally 4.0) and any necessary unit conversion factors are combined into a numerical coefficient for each formula. In addition to the coefficient, the formulas typically include one or more structure dimension terms and a vertical (hydrostatic head) dimension term.

While Reference 7 does not include specific design load values, Section 11.2 indicates that ABS considers "normal" cargo density to be 717.7 kg/m $^3$  (44.8 lb/ft $^3$ ), and implies that "normal" loading on exposed decks due to deck cargo is assumed to be 2636 kg/m $^2$  (540 psf or 25.9 kPa).

<sup>4</sup> None of the above CFR references contain design load information.

The ABS design guide for offshore racing yachts (Reference 8) uses a different approach, in that it includes a design stress term in the design formulas, as well as a term identified as design head. Design stresses for reinforced plastic are given in the guide as a portion of either minimum ultimate tensile strength or minimum flexural strength. Design loads and safety factors are not mentioned specifically. Reference 8 is also organized differently; its two major design sections are Plating (Section 7) and Internals (Section 8). This guide does not have separate sections on watertight bulkheads and decks, as does Reference 7. According to Reference 6, the ABS offshore racing yacht design guide

...is not alone sufficient as a standard for a sailing passenger vessel. This guide contains many design features which may be appropriate for limited purpose racing vessels but not for commercial passenger vessels. However, some design details in the ABS Yacht Guide are appropriate for any vessel and will be directly referenced...

### 7.0 Design Load Information from Other Published Sources

Most naval architecture texts deal primarily with the design of relatively large vessels, and few contain structure design loading data identified as such. Possibly one reason for the scarcity of published design load data is the fact that such data is unnecessary if classification society (e.g., ABS) design methods are used. 5 Also, actual loads due to waves (e.g., deck loading imposed by shipping green seas) are highly dependent upon vessel configuration and operating conditions. As stated in Reference 1, it is extremely difficult to determine the actual wave-induced loadings on vessel structures except by direct experimental measurement. Even when experimental data is taken, it is nearly impossible to isolate the effects of variables such as wave height, vessel shape, and impact. results are thus directly applicable to the specific structure under test and for the specific conditions (wave height, vessel speed, etc.) of the test.

<sup>5</sup> See Section 4.0 above

# 7.1 "Fiberglass Boat Design and Construction" (Reference 9)

Reference 9 lists types of loads which must be considered in the design of boat structures:

### For weather decks:

Hydrostatic loads
Static loads from equipment and cargo
Footprint loads
Snow and ice loads
Impact against docks at edges
Impact of cargo or gear (workboats)
Abrasion

### For interior decks:

Static loads from equipment and cargo Footprint loads Flooding loads (if watertight) Hydrostatic loads for tank boundaries Impact from cargo or gear (workboats) Abrasion

### For bulkheads:

Flooding loads Loads transferred from decks supported

### Reference 9 states that:

The magnitude of the design loads is highly dependent on the size of the hull and its anticipated service...

...Many of the loads in the foregoing list are dynamic in nature, and a classical engineering approach to design would analyze the dynamic response of the structure to these load-time inputs. However, it is generally acceptable to develop simpler equivalent static loads since load inputs are usually not well enough defined to warrant the more complex dynamic analysis.

The following design load values are recommended by Scott for use in designing small FRP boats. These values were obtained from several other published sources.

Recommended Design Loads for Structural Design									
Exterior Decks*	Yachts	Workboats	Sailboats						
	125 PSF	200 PSF	125 PSF						
	(5.99 kPa)	(9.58 kPa)	(5.99 kPa)						
Interior Decks*	50 PSF	100 PSF	50 PSF						
	(2.39 kPa)	(4.79 kPa)	(2.39 kPa)						
Watertight Bulkheads	Hydrostatic head 1.0 feet above uppermost continuous deck								

<sup>\*</sup> In addition to the specified uniform loads, walking surfaces should be designed for a load of 150 pounds (Yachts and Sailboats) or 250 pounds (Workboats) concentrated on an area of 6" x 12". This is generally only critical for single skin panels. If more severe concentrated loads are anticipated, they must be considered.

(For tanks, the recommended design loads are given as "Same as Watertight Bulkheads or to height of overflow, whichever is greater".)

### Scott also discusses safety factors:

The safety factors used in designing FRP small boats are based upon the ultimate strength of the laminate or the core material and are generally higher than for steel or aluminum to account for any loss of strength due to life-cycle environment, fatigue, creep and the variability in laminate thickness and properties... The following safety factors are recommended for conventional FRP boat design for both laminates and cores of sandwich panels:

Hydrostatic and equipment loads on the	4.0
hull and weather deck	
Rigging and foundation loads (normal	4.0
operating)	
Impact loads on hull and weather deck	1.5
Static and equipment loads on interior	3.0
decks	
Flooding loads on bulkheads and tight	2.0
decks	

Another matter of concern is the possibility of excessive deflection of FRP structures. Reference 9 states that:

The low modulus of elasticity of fiberglass laminates results in larger deflections than metal structures of equivalent strength, which can be objectionable in some cases. Most boat owners object to "soft" decks and equate noticeable hull side or bottom panel deflections with structural weakness. Excessive panel deflections can be objectionable since the rotation between the panel edge and the stiffener can weaken the bonded joint and excessive stiffener deflection can cause misalignment of shafting.

As a general guideline, the deflection of panels and stiffeners subjected to the loads discussed previously should not exceed the following, where L is defined as the length of a stiffener between supports or the minimum unsupported span of a panel:

Bulkheads - flooding loads	L/50
Decks - uniform loads	L/100
Decks - footprint loads	L/100

# 7.2 "Structural Design Manual for Naval Surface Ships", NAVSEA 0900-LP-097-4010 (REFERENCE 3)

Reference 3 includes a section dealing with ship structural loads in naval vessel design. The manner in which these loads are considered is much more complex than the ABS approach in References 7 and 8.

In the Reference 3 approach, there are two basic types of ship structural loads: loads to be combined (i.e., superposed), and individual or independent loads which are not normally combined with other loads, or with each other.

Non-combat loads on bulkheads and decks are categorized in Reference 3 as:

Basic Loads (assumed to act on the structure regardless of environmental influences and special operational conditions)

Sea Environment Loads (including hull girder, weather and ship motion loads)

Operational Environment Loads (normally not combined with other loads or with each other; represent extreme cases or unusual situations unlikely to occur simultaneously with other loads in this category)

An outline of these loads and their applicability to bulkhead and deck structures appears in Table 1, "Bulkhead and Deck Loads (Adapted from Reference 3)".


Bulkheads and Deck Loads (Adapted from Reference 3) Table 1.

		APPLI	APPLICATION	
LOADS	Bulki	Bulkheads	ροα	Decks
	Longitudinal	Transverse	Interior	Exterior
LOADS TO BE COMBINED				
Basic Loads Standard live loads Dead loads Liquid/tank loads Loads from equipt/cargo	(N/A) Note 2 Note 2 (N/A)	(N/A) Note 2 Note 2 (N/A)	Note 1 Note 2 Note 2 Note 2	Note 1 Note 2 (N/A) Note 2
Sea Environment Loads Hull girder loads Sea loads (hydrostatic) Weather loads (ice and snow) Ship motion loads	Note 2 (N/A) (N/A) Note 4	(N/A) (N/A) (N/A) Note 4	Note 2 (N/A) (N/A) Note 4	Note 2 Note 3 7.5 lb/ft <sup>2</sup> Note 4
INDIVIDUAL LOADS  Operational Environment Loads Slamming loads Flooding loads Tank overfill loads	(N/A) Note 2 Note 2	(N/A) Note 2 Note 2	(N/A) Note 2 Note 2	Note 5 (N/A) Note 2

Notes: 1. Live load values depend upon type and location (deck level) of compartment, as follows:

TYPE OF COMPARTMENT	LIVE LOADING (LBS/SQ.FT.)	kPa (kN/m²)
Living and control spaces, offices and passages, main deck and above	75	3.6
Living spaces below main deck	100	4.8
Offices and control spaces below main deck	150	7.2
Shop Spaces	200	9.6
Storerooms	300*	14.0
Weather portions of main deck and 01 level	250**	12.0

- \*Or stowage weight, whichever is greater
- \*\*Or maximum vehicle operating load, whichever is greater
  - 2. Magnitude of design loading will depend on specific vessel/compartment/structure configuration.
  - 3. Hydrostatic head on the weather deck due to pitching/green seas is assumed to be 8 to 12 feet at the Forward Perpendicular. The head is assumed to decrease linearly toward the stern, to a minimum of 4 feet, remaining constant over the after part of the vessel. (See the diagram below, which is based on Figure 4.5 of Reference 3.)



- 4. Ship motion amplitudes and periods for moderate sea and storm sea conditions (specified for each ship design) are used to obtain equivalent static force components. The basic load used in calculating ship motion factors consists of only dead load and known stowage weights.
- 5. Table 4-1 of Reference 3 indicates that "Sea Slap/Slam" loadings are independent loadings applicable to weather deck design. However, it appears that these loadings are intended to be used primarily in the design of aircraft carrier sponson structures; therefore, such loads would not be appropriate for merchant vessel weather deck design.

# 7.3 "Structural Design and Materials for Small Warships" (Reference 10)

As part of the design criteria for fast patrol craft, Reference 10 states that:

External decks must be able to withstand a certain head of water, together with the weight of any equipment on the deck, without collapse. The actual value to use in design is somewhat controversial. Suggested pressures are  $1\frac{1}{2}$   $1b/in^2$  (100 mbar) forward and 1  $1b/in^2$  (70 mbar) aft.

Interior decks must withstand an arbitrary distributed loading to represent equipment and personnel. We assume an overall pressure, excluding local heavy equipment, of 1 lb/in² (70 mbar). As a matter of interest, this is approximately equivalent to men standing as closely packed as possible over the whole area.

Watertight bulkheads in small warships should be designed to hold a head of water, either side, up to the main deck level, to allow for the case where some compartments are holed and flooded while others remain dry. For larger boats it may be worth considering reasonable flooding cases in more detail to get a more rational design case for the bulkheads.

Fuel and water tanks should be able to withstand static heads of liquid up to the vent outlets, plus an allowance for flow along the vent pipes in case of accidental over-filling.

### 8.0 Summary of Standard Design Load Information

As mentioned previously, there is little available published standard design load information for deck and bulkhead structure. (In this case, "standard" is defined as specific numerical values generally applicable to a wide variety of individual vessel design requirements and/or structural configurations.)

In this survey, four sources containing standard design loads were found. Of these, only one gave standard total design loads; the other sources gave standard design loads for only portions of the total design loadings. In each case, the standard design loads were for deck structures.

Available standard design load recommendations for deck structures are given in Table 2.

Table 2. Standa	rd Design Loads	for Deck Structures
Description of Structure	Type of Loading Represented	Recommended Design Load
ABS (Reference 7) Decks (reinforced plastic vessels)  NAVSEC (Reference 3) Decks (naval surface ships)	Cargo weight	540 psf (2636kg/m² for "normal" cargo weight (Note 1) (25.9kPa)
Exterior "	Live load Ice and snow Green seas	250 psf (Note 2) (12.0 kPa) 7.5 psf (0.36 kPa) Approx. 12 ft head (768 psf) (36.8 kPa) max fwd; 4 ft head (256 psf) (12.2 kPa) aft (Note 3)
Interior (Main Deck and above Note 4) (Below Main Deck) Living Spaces Offices and control spaces (Shop Spaces) (Storerooms)	Live load " "	75 psf (3.6 kPa)  100 psf (4.8 kPa)  150 psf (7.2 kPa) 200 psf (9.6 kPa) 300 psf (Note 5) (14 kPa)
Scott (Reference 9) Decks (fiberglass boats) Exterior (yachts and sailboats) " (workboats)  Interior (yachts and sailboats) " (workboats)  Kingslake (Reference 10)	Total " "	125 psf (Note 6) (6.0 kPa) 200 psf (" ") (9.6kPa) 50 psf (" ") (2.4 kPa) 100 psf (" ") (4.8 kPa)
Decks (small warships) Exterior Interior	Equipment weight plus water head Equipment and personnel	216 psf fwd, (10.3 kPa) 144 psf aft, (6.89 kPa) 144 psf (Note 7) (6.89 kPa)

### Notes

- 1. ABS Rules for Building and Classing Steel Vessels under 61 Meters (200 feet) in length (1983 Edition) includes the 540 psf figure for "normal" cargo weight.
- 2. Or maximum vehicle operating load, if greater.
- 3. See Section 6.2 for method of determining variation of design head along length of vessel.
- 4. Including living and control spaces, offices and passages.
- 5. Or stowage weight, if greater.
- 6. In addition to the recommended uniform load, walking surfaces should be designed for a load of 150 pounds (yachts and sailboats) or 250 pounds (workboats) concentrated on a 6" x 12" area, except where more severe concentrated loading is expected.
- 7. Not including local heavy equipment.

In the available sources that include recommended design loads for bulkhead structures (References 3, 9 and 10), the design load is defined as a head of water, rather than as a specific load per unit area.

For naval surface ship bulkhead design, Reference 3 requires that the following loads be considered:

### Loads to be combined

Primary hull girder loads (longitudinal bulkheads only)

Tank loads (A head measured to the top of the tank is considered to be the normal case, and is therefor combined with other loads. However, it is frequently assumed that a filled tank has an opposing, and therefor reducing, impact on other loads; loads which act in opposition should not be combined in the strength analysis.)

Dead loads

### Independent loads

Tank loads in overfilled condition (For accidental tank overfill, the assumed load is the hydrostatic head measured to the overflow.

This is considered to be a short-term condition and is, therefore, not combined with any other load; see also the above remark concerning impact of a filled tank on other loads.)

Flooding loads (Flooding loads are likely to be critical for bulkheads and decks of vital spaces in the lower hull.)

Scott (Reference 9) indicates that the loads which must be considered in designing small boat bulkhead structures are:

Flooding loads

Loads transferred from decks supported.

Scott states that the recommended design load for structural design of watertight bulkheads for fiberglass yachts, sailboats and workboats is a hydrostatic head measured from the bottom of the bulkhead to a point 1.0 foot above the uppermost continuous deck.

(For tanks, the recommended design load is a hydrostatic head measured from the bottom of the bulkhead to a point 1.0 foot above the uppermost continuous deck (i.e., the same as for watertight bulkheads), or to the height of the tank overflow, whichever is greater.)

Kingslake (Reference 10) recommends that watertight bulkheads in small warships should be designed to hold a head of water, either side, up to the main deck level (to allow for the case where some compartments are holed and flooded while others remain dry). This reference also states that fuel and water tanks should be able to withstand static heads of liquid up to their vent outlets, plus an allowance for flow along the vent pipes in case of accidental overfilling.

# 9.0 Recommendations Concerning Loadings for FRP Structures Undergoing Fire Endurance Tests

As described above, determining realistic design loads for bulkhead and deck structures can be a complex process. In order

to ensure structural adequacy, the designer must consider a number of environmental, operational and material factors that often vary greatly from case to case. For this reason, it seems reasonable to assume that standard, numerical values for overall design loads are seldom used in actual practice.

Therefore, the most straightforward method of determining the loading to be applied to a structure during fire endurance testing would be to require that the structure's designer provide the necessary design load information (e.g., head in feet, uniform loading in pounds per square foot, magnitude, location and direction of point or concentrated loadings, etc.) for each specific structural specimen to be tested.

If such information is not available, and it becomes necessary to estimate test loadings, the following values are suggested for deck structures:

### Interior decks (other than machinery spaces)

Accommodations spaces, control spaces,				
offices and passageways, Main Deck		2		
and above	75	lb/ft <sup>2</sup>	(3.6	kPa)
Accommodations spaces below Main Deck	100	lb/ft <sup>2</sup> lb/ft <sup>2</sup>	(4.8	kPa)
Offices, control spaces, passageways		_		
below Main Deck	150	lb/ft <sup>2</sup> lb/ft <sup>2</sup>	(7.2	kPa)
Shop spaces	200	lb/ft <sup>2</sup>	(9.6	kPa)
Storerooms	300	lb/ft <sup>2</sup> lb/ft <sup>2</sup>	(14.0	kPa)
Cargo stowage deck areas	550	lb/ft <sup>2</sup>	(26.0	kPa)

### Exterior decks

Areas subject to green seas loading				
but not used for cargo stowage:				
Forward one-quarter of vessel:	375	lb/ft <sup>2</sup>	(18.0)	kPa)
After three-quarters of vessel:	250	lb/ft <sup>2</sup> lb/ft <sup>2</sup> lb/ft <sup>2</sup>	(12.0)	kPa)
Cargo stowage areas:	550	lb/ft <sup>2</sup>	(26.0	kPa)
Areas not used for cargo stowage				
and not subject to green seas		2		
loading:	200	lb/ft <sup>2</sup>	(9.6	kPa)

(These suggested loadings should be adjusted upwards if the structures will be subjected to large concentrated loads or other unusual service conditions.)

### Bulkheads

Test loads for bulkhead structures should simulate a hydrostatic head of seawater measured from the bottom of the bulkhead to a point 1.0 foot above the uppermost continuous deck.

For tank bulkheads, the hydrostatic head should be measured to the height of the tank overflow, or to a point 1.0 foot above the uppermost continuous deck, whichever is greater.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Mull, Gary W., "Small Craft Structures for the Eighties and Beyond", paper presented at the 1988 New England Yacht Symposium, The Society of Naval Architects and Marine Engineers, et.al.
- Taggart, Robert (editor), "Ship Design and Construction", The Society of Naval Architects and Marine Engineers, New York, 1980.
- 3. "Structural Design Manual for Naval Surface Ships" (NAVSEA 0900-LP-097-4010), Naval Ship Engineering Center, Washington, D.C., 15 December 1976.
- 4. Evans, J. Harvey (editor), "Ship Structural Design Concepts", Ship Structure Committee, U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, Washington, D.C., 1974.
- 5. "Use of Fiber Reinforced Plastics in the Maritime Industry", Ship Structure Committee, U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, 1990.
- 6. "Notes on Design, Construction, Inspection and Repair of Fiber Reinforced Plastic Vessels", Enclosure (1) to Navigation and Inspection Circular No. 8-87, U.S. Coast Guard, Washington, D.C., 6 November 1987.
- 7. "Rules for Building and Classing Reinforced Plastic Vessels", American Bureau of Shipping, New York, N.Y., 1978.
- 8. "Guide for Building and Classing Offshore Racing Yachts", American Bureau of Shipping, New York, N.Y., 1981.
- Scott, Robert J., "Fiberglass Boat Design and Construction", John de Graff, Inc., Tuckahoe, N.Y., 1973.
- 10. Kingslake, G., "Structural Design and Materials for Small Warships", published in Proceedings of the Symposium on Small Fast Warships and Security Vessels, by The Royal Institution of Naval Architects, March 1978.